IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 139 OF 2003

Gurudas Manjunath Koneri..... .....Petitioner.
Versus
Ramnath Manjunath Konery & Anr.. .... Respondents.

Mr. R.K.Jain with Mr. S.S.Lanke for the Petitioner.
Mr. S.R.Shinde, APP for State.

CORAM : V.R. KINGAONKAR, J.

DATED : 21ST APRIL, 2006.

P.C.:

Mr. R. K. Jain, learned Counsel, holding for Mr. S. S. Lanke, Advocate for the Petitioner, seeks time. Request is rejected.

2. I have gone through the impugned order dated 3rd May, 2002 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Greater Mumbai. There is a concurrent finding about guilt of the Petitioner. There is no error of law committed by either Courts below. The impugned order does not reveal any perversity, capriciousness or arbitrariness. Consequently, there appears no merit in the revision application. Hence it is dismissed.

-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-.-